

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

Joe Dasilva, Jr.

Plaintiff(s),

v.

Mark Esper, et al.

Defendant(s).
_____ /

Case No. 20-11358

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH

ORDER STRIKING DOCUMENT (Dkt. 84)

The Court has reviewed the following document: Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend the
(Dkt. 84). The Court finds that it should be stricken for the following reason(s):

- ☐ Missing or insufficient statement of non-concurrence. See LR 7.1(a).
- ☐ Over-length. See LR 7.1(d)(3).
- ☐ Wrong font size. See LR 5.1(a)(3).
- ☐ Missing required information (e.g., concise statement of issues, controlling or most appropriate authority, etc.). See LR 7.1(d)(2).
- ☐ Improperly formatted (e.g., single-spaced, improper margins, missing page numbers, etc.). See LR 5.1(a)(2).
- ☐ Does not comply with the Case Management Order in this case for the following reasons:

_____.

☒ Other: Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend the complaint does not contain
language certifying compliance with LR 5.1(a), as required by the Court's
6/2/2020 order (Dkt. 5).

Accordingly, the Court strikes the document. Plaintiff shall file a corrected
document that complies with all requirements on or before 12/9/2022.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/7/2022
Detroit, Michigan

s/Mark A. Goldsmith
MARK A. GOLDSMITH
United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record
and any unrepresented parties via the Court's ECF System to their respective email or First Class
U.S. mail addresses disclosed on the Notice of Electronic Filing on 12/7/2022.

s/Karri Sandusky

Karri Sandusky
Case Manager